



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,646	03/10/2004	Mathew Hayden Harper	111244.162 (US1)	4189
23483	7590	03/26/2008		
WILMERHALLE/BOSTON			EXAMINER	
60 STATE STREET			RUBIN, BLAKE J	
BOSTON, MA 02109			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			4152	
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
03/26/2008		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

michael.mathewson@wilmerhale.com
teresa.carvalho@wilmerhale.com
sharon.matthews@wilmerhale.com

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/797,646	Applicant(s) HARPER ET AL.
	Examiner BLAKE RUBIN	Art Unit 4152

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) BLAKE RUBIN. (3) Benjamin Brown.

(2) Vincent Boccio. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 March 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 15.

Identification of prior art discussed: Perras et al (U.S. Patent 6,904,033).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: With respect to claim 1, applicant's representative stated that an amendment would be made to the claim in response to feedback provided by Primary Examiner Boccio. With respect to claim 15, applicants representative disputed the examiners interpretation of the timing of "a configuration request signal" with respect to other configuration request signals.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/ Blake Rubin /

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required